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Abstract—We study the design of sampling trajectories for stable sampling and reconstruction of bandlimited spatial fields using mobile sensors. As a performance metric we use the path density of a set of sampling trajectories, defined as the total distance traveled by the moving sensors per unit spatial volume of the spatial region being monitored. We obtain new results for the problem of designing stable sampling trajectories with minimal path density, that admit perfect reconstruction of bandlimited fields. In particular, we identify the set of parallel lines with path density, that admit perfect reconstruction of bandlimited fields. We introduce the notion of trajectories that admit perfect reconstruction from measurements on a lattice of points in space. Further research on non-uniform sampling generated through space taking measurements along its path, as shown in Figure 1(b). In such cases it is often relatively inexpensive to increase the spatial sampling rate along the sensor’s path while the main cost of the sampling scheme comes from the total distance that needs to be traveled by the moving sensor. Hence it is reasonable to assume that the sensor can record the field values at an arbitrarily high but finite resolution on its path. Furthermore, for such a sampling application, the density of the sampling points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ used in classical sampling theory is not a relevant performance metric. Instead, as we argued in our previous work [8] [9], a more relevant metric is the average distance that needs to be traveled by the sensor per unit spatial volume (or area, for $d = 2$). We call this metric the path density. Such a metric is relevant in applications like environmental monitoring using moving sensors [10], [11], where the path density directly measures the distance moved by the sensor per unit area. This metric is also useful in designing $k$-space trajectories for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [5], where the path density captures the total length of the trajectories per unit area in $k$-space which can be used as a proxy for the total scanning time per unit area in $k$-space.

In [8] and [9] we introduced the problem of designing sampling trajectories for bandlimited fields that are minimal in path density. We obtained conditions on unions of uniformly spaced straight line trajectories that admit perfect reconstruction of bandlimited fields. From this class of trajectories, we identified those with minimal path density. In this paper we extend our past work to arbitrary configurations of parallel line trajectories. We introduce the notion of trajectories that admit

Fig. 1. Two approaches for sampling a field in $\mathbb{R}^2$
stable sampling. We identify new designs of trajectories for fields in \( \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 3 \) that are strictly better in path density than those identified in [9].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section II we describe the formal problem statement, in Section III we present our new results and we conclude with some discussion in Section IV. Below we introduce notations we use frequently in the paper.

**Notation:** We use \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) to denote the canonical inner product, and \( e_k \) to denote the unit vector along the \( k \)-th coordinate axis. For \( u \in \mathbb{R}^d \) we denote the hyperplane orthogonal to \( u \) through the origin by \( u^\perp = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u \rangle = 0 \} \). For a set \( S \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) we use \( |S| \) to denote the volume of \( S \) relative to its affine hull, \( \text{relint}(S) \) to denote the relative interior of \( S \), \( S(x) \) to denote its shifted version \( S(x) = \{ y + x : y \in S \} \), and \( \mathcal{P}_u S \) to denote the orthogonal projection of \( S \) onto the hyperplane \( u^\perp \). We use \( B_u^d \) and \( B_u^2(x) \) for denoting spherical balls of radius \( r \) centered at the origin \( u \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) respectively. For a discrete set \( \Lambda \) we use \#(\Lambda) to denote its cardinality.

**II. Problem Statement**

A trajectory \( p_i \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) refers to a curve in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We represent a trajectory by a continuous function \( p(\cdot) \) of a real variable taking values on \( \mathbb{R}^d \):

\[
p : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

A trajectory set \( P \) is defined as a countable collection of trajectories:

\[
P = \{ p_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \}
\]

where \( \mathbb{N} \) is a countable set of indices and for each \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( p_i \) is a trajectory in the trajectory set \( P \). For any given trajectory set \( P \) we denote its path density by \( \ell(P) \) as defined follows:

\[
\ell(P) := \limsup \sup_{a \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} D^P(a, x)}{\text{Vol}_d(a)}
\]

where \( D^P(a, x) \) represents the total arc-length of trajectories from \( P \) located within the ball \( B^d_a(x) \) and \( \text{Vol}_d(a) \) represents the volume of the \( d \)-dimensional ball. A simple example of a trajectory set in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is a doubly infinite sequence of equispaced parallel lines through \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) (e.g., see Figure 2(a)). We call such a trajectory set a uniform set in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Such a uniform set has a path density equal to \( \frac{1}{\Delta} \) (see [9, Lem 2.2]) where \( \Delta \) is the spacing between the lines. Similarly a uniform set in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is defined as a collection of parallel lines in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) such that the cross-section forms a \((d-1)\)-dimensional lattice, as shown in Figure 2(b).

We say that a set of points \( \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) is uniformly discrete if we have \( \inf \{|x-y| : x, y \in \Lambda, x \neq y\} > 0 \), i.e., there exists \( r > 0 \) such that for any two distinct points \( x, y \in \Lambda \) we have \( |x-y| > r \).

\[1\] For example lattices in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) are uniformly discrete, but a sequence in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) converging to a point in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is not.

Fig. 2. Examples of uniform sets in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).
specific restricted classes of trajectories, such as uniform sets and unions of uniform sets. In this paper we present optimality results from broader classes of trajectory sets.

III. NEW OPTIMALITY RESULTS FOR PARALLEL LINES

Let $P$ denote a trajectory set composed of parallel lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $N^x_\epsilon(P)$ denote the number of lines in $P$ that intersect the $\epsilon$-dimensional ball $B^d_\epsilon(x)$ of radius $\epsilon$ centered at $x$. We restrict our attention to trajectory sets that are homogenous in the sense defined below.

Definition 3.1: We say that $P$ is a homogenous parallel set if

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{N^x_\epsilon(P)}{|B^d_\epsilon(x)|}$$

exists and is equal for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Most practically useful parallel trajectory sets such as uniform sets, approximately uniform sets (e.g., with bounded offsets) and their finite unions are homogenous. For $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we use $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ to denote homogenous parallel sets in $\mathcal{N}_\Omega$. Below, we characterize the path density of homogenous parallel sets.

Lemma 3.1: Any homogenous parallel set $P$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

$$\ell(P) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{N^0_\epsilon(P)}{|B^d_\epsilon|}.$$  

(7)

We provide a proof in the appendix. We now tackle (6) for trajectory sets in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ and compact convex symmetric sets $\Omega$.

We first establish a lower bound on the path density.

Proposition 3.2: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior. Assume further that $\Omega$ has a point of symmetry at the origin. Let $Q \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega$ be a trajectory set composed of lines parallel to $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\ell(Q) \geq \frac{|Q|}{|q|^d}$.

Proof: Assume without loss of generality that $q = e_1$, the unit vector along the first coordinate axis. Consider a field of the form $f(x) = \sin(c_{e_1}x_1)q(x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_d)$ and $g$ is bandlimited to a closed set $\Omega^y$ where $\Omega^y \subset \text{relint}(\Omega \cap q^\perp$). For $\epsilon$ small enough, $f \in B_\Omega$. For stably recovering $f$ from samples on $Q$, the non-uniform collection of points at which the lines in $Q$ intersect the hyperplane $e_1^\perp$ must form a set of stable sampling for $\Omega^y$. We know from Landau’s result [2] (see also [4, Cor. 1]) that the sampling density of such a set must necessarily be greater than or equal to $\frac{|Q|}{|q|^d}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 it follows that $\ell(Q) \geq \frac{|Q|}{|q|^d}$. Hence $\ell(Q) \geq \frac{|Q|}{|q|^d}$.

Although the result of Proposition 3.2 only provides a lower-bound on the path density, we believe that the techniques used in [13] can be used to construct trajectory sets in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ that achieve arbitrarily close to this bound for convex and symmetric $\Omega$. However, in this paper, we only establish the following achievability result, which is tight for some specific choices of $\Omega$ as we discuss below.

Proposition 3.3: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior and a point of symmetry at the origin. Let $S(\Omega)$ denote the volume of the smallest projection of $\Omega$ onto a hyperplane defined as

$$S(\Omega) := \min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|u\| = 1} |P_{u \cdot \Omega}|.$$  

(8)

Let $u^*$ be the minimizer in (8). Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $P \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega$ such that the lines in $P$ are parallel to $u^*$ and

$$\ell(P) \leq \frac{S(\Omega)}{2(2\pi)^d} + \epsilon.$$  

Sketch of proof: We do not provide a complete proof due to lack of space. The optimal trajectory set is obtained by choosing the lines in $P$ parallel to $u^*$ such that their points of intersection with $(u^*)^\perp$ approximates an optimal set of stable sampling for $P_{(u^*)^\perp \Omega}$. Such an optimal set can be designed using the results of [13, Cor 4.5]. In this case, the path density of this trajectory set matches the sampling density of the optimal set of sampling which is equal to $|P_{(u^*)^\perp \Omega}| + \epsilon$.

The following corollary is immediate from the above two results.

Corollary 3.3.1: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact convex set with non-empty interior and a point of symmetry at the origin. Suppose that $\Omega$ satisfies the condition

$$\min_{u \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|u\| = 1} |\Omega \cap u^\perp| = S(\Omega).$$  

(9)

Then

$$\inf_{Q \in \mathcal{H}_\Omega} \ell(Q) = \frac{S(\Omega)}{2(2\pi)^d}. \tag{10}$$

In words, condition (9) is the requirement that the volume of the smallest section of $\Omega$ through the origin is equal to the volume of the smallest projection of $\Omega$ onto a hyperplane. This condition holds in the following practically relevant cases:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\Omega$ is convex and compact [14, Thm 12.18].
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Omega$ is a spherical ball (obvious), or an $n$-cube [15], or an ellipsoid (can be shown).

However, this condition does not hold in general, a simple counter-example being the regular octahedron in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\Omega = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|\omega\|_1 \leq 1\}$. Nevertheless for $\Omega$’s that satisfy condition (9), the trajectory set of Proposition 3.3 gives the optimal configuration of parallel lines for sampling fields in $\mathcal{B}_\Omega$. In particular, when $\Omega$ is a spherical ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, the trajectory set of Proposition 3.3 gives the optimal configuration of parallel lines for sampling isotropic fields in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Similarly, for convex and compact sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we showed in [9] that the optimum configuration of parallel lines given by Proposition 3.3 is a uniform set in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$. For general $\mathbb{R}^d$, the result of Proposition 3.3 gives the best known solution to the minimum path density problem of (6). In Section IV we discuss the possibility of extending this result to all of $\mathcal{N}_\Omega$.

IV. DISCUSSION

This work opens up several possible research directions. An obvious question is to check if under the conditions of Proposition 3.3 it is possible to design a trajectory set in $\mathcal{H}_\Omega$ that achieves a path density arbitrarily close to the lower bound. Another direction of interest is to extend the results on parallel lines obtained in this paper to parallel sampling manifolds of higher dimensions, like those considered in [9].
Although we have obtained various optimality results on parallel line trajectories in this paper, our original task of identifying minimal length trajectories for sampling spatial bandlimited fields still remains open. A first case to analyze is the necessary condition on a trajectory set in \( N_\Omega \) composed of arbitrary (not necessarily parallel) straight lines. A generalization of the notion of Fourier frames [4] [5] may be a possible approach towards such a result.

A different question of interest is to examine the definition of \( N_\Omega \). In the current version of this work, while defining the set \( N_\Omega \) we have placed the restriction that a sampling trajectory set in \( N_\Omega \) must contain a uniformly discrete set of points that form a set of stable sampling for \( \Omega \). In addition we have the requirement of Condition (C2). Nevertheless, it has recently come to our knowledge that under this definition of \( N_\Omega \) it is possible to design sampling trajectories in \( N_\Omega \) that have arbitrarily small path density. However, this leads to the stability ratio \( \frac{\rho}{N} \) of parameters \( A \) and \( B \) in the definition of (5) to be arbitrarily high. It is of interest to examine whether a constraint on the ratio \( \frac{\rho}{N} \) can be incorporated in the definition of \( N_\Omega \) to obtain a non-trivial lower bound on the path density of all trajectory sets in \( N_\Omega \). However, it is to be noted that if we restrict ourselves to trajectory sets in \( \mathcal{H}_\Omega \), then the problem is still well-posed as evidenced by Proposition 3.2. It would be of interest to examine whether such a non-trivial lower bound on the path density continues to hold if we expand \( \mathcal{H}_\Omega \) to all trajectory sets composed of straight lines.
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APPENDIX

A. Proof of Lemma 3.1

For simplicity, we prove the result only for \( d = 2 \), since the same proof idea works for higher dimensions. Without loss of generality assume that the lines in \( P \) are parallel to \( e_2 \). Since the lines are homogenous we just need to evaluate (4) when \( x \) is the origin. We number the lines in \( P \) such that for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\mathbb{Z}^-) \), \( \ell_{a_i} \) denotes the length of the portion of the \( i \)-th line to the right (left) of the origin that is contained within a disc of radius \( a \) centered at the origin. Without affecting the value of the computation we assume that the line indexed by \( 0 \) passes through the origin. Let \( d_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell_{a_i}} \Delta_j \) where \( \Delta_j \) denotes the spacing between lines indexed by \( j \) and \( j + 1 \). Now let \( I_{a,f} = \{ i \in \mathbb{Z} : f < a_i < (f + 1)a \} \) for \( \frac{1}{2} \leq f < \frac{1}{2} \). Let \( I_{a,f} = \sum_i I_{a_i,f} \) and \( N_{a,f} = \#(I_{a,f}) \).

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{N_{a,f}}{\pi a^2} = \rho \text{ where } \rho \text{ is the right hand side expression in (7). Further, for } f \in [0, \frac{1}{2}],
\]

\[
2a(1 - (f + 1)^2 e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{a,f} \leq L_{a,f} \leq 2a(1 - f^2 e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} N_{a,f}.
\]

Hence

\[
\frac{2\rho}{\pi} (1 - (f + 1)^2 e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{L_{a,f}}{\pi a^2} \leq \frac{2\rho}{\pi} (1 - f^2 e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

For \( f < 0 \) the above relation holds with the signs reversed. Thus we see that \( \sum_{f=0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{L_{a,f}}{\pi a^2} \) is bounded between the right hand and left hand Riemann sums that approximate the Riemann integral \( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \). Since this holds for all \( \epsilon \) it follows that as we let \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we get \( \lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{f=-\infty}^{\epsilon} \frac{L_{a,f}}{\pi a^2} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \). Following the same steps for negative indices and combining, we get

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{f=-\infty}^{\epsilon} \frac{L_{a,f}}{\pi a^2} = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = \rho.
\]

\[
\square
\]
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