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Introduction

Based on recent paper in IEEE SP Magazine*


Introduction

A bit of history

The Royal Society celebrates its 350th anniversary!
Insight that results and experiments should be described so that other researchers can reproduce them.
This lead to peer reviewed journals, and the scientific culture we know today.

Invention of printing: Transformed alchemy into chemistry…
Invention of web: Should have a similar transformational role!

Signal processing: Between applied mathematics and engineering applications, benefits by making results as reproducible as possible.
It helps the research itself, it increases the impact and visibility of the research, and it is just plain good practice.
Introduction: How not to do it….

Introduction

Some examples from day to day practice

“I just read your paper X. It is very completely described, however I am confused by Y. Could you provide the implementation code to me for reference if possible?”

“Hi! I am also working on a project related to X. I have implemented your algorithm, but cannot get the same results as described in your paper. Which values should I use for parameters Y and Z?”

Graduate student to Professor: “My algorithm works better than the best published one, I assure you”
Introduction

Definition
"Reproducibility is one of the main principles of the scientific method, and refers to the ability of a test or experiment to be accurately reproduced, or replicated, by someone else working independently."
- Wikipedia -

Motivations

It is a fundamental principle of the research culture
- 350 years and going strong
- It is the Lego principle!

Research self-discipline
- More efficient
- More ‘robust’

Advantages
- Allows you to continue where you left work
- Allows others to start from the same point
- Allows others to use your work as a building block
- Increases impact
Motivations

Increased impact!
- Publications, citations as a measure of our career

Open Access as analogy
Number of days between citing and cited article publication on arXiv

![Graph showing citation latency for arXiv papers](kurtz-brody-06)

- Higher numbers: larger number of papers on arXiv
- Earlier peak: decreased citation latency for open access papers

Motivations

What are we trying to accomplish? Impact!
- Results used by others (researchers, commercial applications, etc.)
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Related Work

Research practices in other domains
- Mathematics
  - ‘Reprovable’, proofs can be verified
  - Not reproducible: Fermat’s last theorem
    “J’ai trouvé une merveilleuse démonstration de cette proposition, mais je ne peux l’écrire dans cette marge car elle est trop longue.”
- Exact Sciences (Physics, Chemistry, etc.)
  - Experimental setups
- Life Sciences
  - Experimental setups
  - Other researchers repeat experiments
  - Journal of Cell Biology checks for image manipulation

→ Computational sciences have a lot to learn!
Related Work

History of reproducible research in computational sciences

- Claerbout, SEP, Stanford (1990)
  - Makefiles to build and clean results
  - WaveLab using Matlab

- Various other domains
  - Econometrics, Neurophysiology, Epidemiology

* An article about computational science in a scientific publication is not the scholarship itself, it is merely advertising of the scholarship. The actual scholarship is the complete software development environment and the complete set of instructions which generated the figures.

Actually, worries about reproducibility are centuries old

- Discourse on (Scientific) Method, Descartes, 1637
- Literate Programming, D. Knuth, 1984
  "Instead of imagining that our task is to instruct a computer what to do, let us concentrate rather on explaining to human beings what we want a computer to do."
- And many more, in various disciplines

- Long list of non-reproducible works getting a lot of (negative) media attention
  - Stem cell research, 2006
  - Climategate scandal, 2009
  - …
Related Work

Now is a good time to start reproducible research!
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Components of Research

Theory: Can be proven again… usually
- Mostly OK (even if math people might complain)
- Numerical examples

Algorithms: reproducible
- Global algorithm often OK
- Initialization, parameter tuning,…
- Platforms, finite precisions, versions…

Data and Experimental Setup: reusable
- Weakest part
- Standardized data sets (Lena is not a set, and a bad image!)
- Detailed description of experimental setup

---

Example: Spectrum of Ultra-Wide Band (UWB) Signals [Ridolfi et al]

\[ S_X(\nu) = |\hat{w}(\nu)|^2 \lambda \left( 2 \text{Re} \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 6} \phi_k(2\pi\nu) \right\} d\nu - 1 - \lambda \delta(\nu) \right) \]

Components of Research

Algorithms

- Code
- Data
- Environment
  - Computer platform
  - Compiler and compiler flags
  - Software version
  - User interface, if available


Components of Research

Data and Experimental Setups

- Measurement setup
- Setup or calibration procedures
- Complex setups: reusable data sets

Examples: SensorScope, Acoustic Tomography

Example: Super-Resolution Imaging

Patrick Vandewalle’s experience with his PhD thesis work:

- First and second conference paper - 2003
  - Not reproducible
- First journal paper - April 2004
  - Let’s make it reproducible…
  - 1 week work
  - Paper with Matlab code, data, figures online
- Second journal paper - Dec 2005
  - Reproducible, of course!
  - 1 day work
  - Paper with Matlab code, data, and figures
- In between (summer 2005), student wrote GUI for Matlab code
- Writing PhD thesis
  - Great, I can recycle my code!
  - Easy to create new figures by changing parameters and axis labels
  - 3 months to write thesis

Benefits:
- Efficient reuse of own results
- Nice demo material (using GUI)
- Many downloads
- Nice reactions
  - “When I am beginning to study image super-resolution, I read a lot of papers about it, but I found that I don’t know how to realize the algorithms of these paper. Your works help me make great progress. Hope to have more super-resolution algorithms code for us to study. Thanks.”
  - “We’re interested in improving the algorithm via our own methodology in registration. We’re using your methods as a springboard of research for use in security applications.”
- Collaborations
Example: Wind Tomography

I. Jovanovic's PhD theoretical, algorithmic and experimental work
Measuring temperature and wind flow with time-of-flight and tomographic reconstruction
- Complex experimental setup
  - 12 emitters, 12 receivers
  - Custom-built amplifiers and preamplifiers

Example: Wind Tomography

- Specifications and layouts of components available online
- Datasets available online (and documented!)
Ultrasound Tomography for Breast Cancer Screening

Wind Tomography lead to Ultrasound Tomography, where a main application is screening for breast cancer detection. This is a main alternative to X-Ray (ionizing) and MRI (costly).

- Algorithms on line
- Datasets partly available online (collaboration with hospital)
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Reproducibility study

Reproducibility Review Study

- All 134 IEEE Transactions on Image Processing papers from 2004
- Reviewed by 90 reviewers (at least 2 for each paper)
- Questions about reproducibility of algorithms, code, and data
  - Reproducibility of the algorithm
    - Is the algorithm described in sufficient detail?
    - Are exact parameter values given?
    - Is there a block diagram?
    - Is there a pseudo-code?
    - Are there proofs for all the theorems?
    - Is the algorithm compared to other algorithms?
  - Reproducibility of the code
    - Are implementation details (programming language, platform, compiler flags, etc.) given?
    - Is the code available online?
  - Reproducibility of the data
    - Is there an explanation of what the data represents?
    - Is the size of the dataset acceptable?
    - Is the dataset available online?

Reproducibility study

Reproducibility Review Study

• Results
  • Algorithm and data are generally well described (84%)
  • 2/3 papers (describing an algorithm) compare their algorithm to other algorithms
  • Half of the articles contain a block diagram or pseudo-code
  • 1/3 have data available online (mostly Lena?)
  • Only 9% have code available online!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALGORITHM</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DETAILS</td>
<td>PARAMETER VALUES</td>
<td>BLOCK DIAGRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Practical considerations

Everybody agrees … so why does it not happen (yet) ?
- It’s extra work
- Publishers don’t like it, or at least researchers think so (in fact, publishers often allow it)
- You don’t get (enough) credit for it
- This gives others an advantage
- You need to disclose everything
- The least publishable increment $\epsilon$ will increase

See also Victoria Stodden's survey of computational scientists, e.g. in

Software Platforms

Various software platforms are used
- General programming languages: C/C++, Java, etc.
- Open source scientific software: Octave, R, SciLab, etc.
- Commercial software packages: Matlab, Mathematica, etc.

Make results as easy to reproduce as possible!
Ideally, a simple mouse click is sufficient…
A wide variety of tools exist
- Makefile
- Madagascar / SCons
- Sweave
- ResearchAssistant (Java-based)
Software Platforms

Trade-off in amount of work:
time-consuming for author, but time-saving for reader

Which software tools can be considered ‘standard’?

Data Availability

- Use of own data vs standardized data sets
- Check rights before putting data online
  - Anonymized data
  - Sample of the data
- Description of data
  - What do they represent?
  - How were the data acquired?
Dataset Competitions

- Competitions to obtain best results on a given dataset
- Results are often compared and analyzed at a workshop or conference
  - Netflix 1’000’000$ competition on movie preferences (2006-2009)
  - Segmentation in the clinic (MICCAI, 2008)
  - Time Series Forecasting (WCCI & IJCNN, yearly)
- Problems of confidentiality, privacy
  - Some users in the Netflix database can be identified….

Licensing and Commercialization

- License defines how your code can be used and distributed
- License has to be included with the code
- Many types of licenses exist
  - Open Source
    - GNU General Public License (GPL)
    - BSD License
    - MIT License
    - Common Public License (CPL)
    - … (58 listed currently on http://www.opensource.org/licenses/)
  - Proprietary Source
- Reproducible Research Standard (Victoria Stodden)
- As an author, you retain copyright and the right to re-license
Licensing and Commercialization

• What if my start-up has to live from this?
  – Special setup: website where people can submit data to be processed
  – User can choose his/her own data and receives the results after processing
  – Not 100% reproducible by user, but enough for comparisons and tests on other data
  – Additional advantage: create large test database

RR Repository

How to make those data available?
• Some journals allow additional materials linked with the paper
• Repository setup using Eprints: for example rr.epfl.ch
  – Easy setup
  – Web page with reference, full text, code, and data
  – Long-term repository initiatives as opposed to personal web pages
RR Repository

Who will test reproducibility?
- Publisher: maybe, but expensive
- Reviewers: maybe, but these are voluntary efforts
- You!
  - Let readers mark that they have tested the code, it works, etc.
  - Let readers give comments (and learn from previous comments)
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Impact

Reproducible Research increases impact
• Papers available online are cited 3 x more often [Lawrence]
• Papers with online datasets have 69% more citations [Piwowar et al.]
• It is likely that the same holds true for reproducible research
• Increased visibility
• E.g. more than 200 downloads/month for super-resolution code
• E.g. Red-eye removal paper - with online Java code - most popular download in EPFL database (2nd half 2006)

S. Lawrence (2001) Free online availability substantially increases a paper’s impact. Nature 411, 521. doi: 10.1038/35079151
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Thoughts on the “publication business”

Impact and impact factors:
• Nature, Science, PNAS: 30 to 40
• Your average IEEE Transaction: 1 to 2 …

We better start thinking about this seriously!
• An exponentially increasing set of papers … with less and less impact on research and the real world?
• We need to revisit the way to do, publish and advertize research!
Thoughts on the “publication business”

Other ways to do science: The Polymath Project

EUSIPCO 2010 - Reproducible Research - 45

Faster ways to do science: ArXiv or your own web page
Thoughts on the “publication business”

A few obvious questions

Why don’t we have a high impact journal?
- Usual excuses
- Better think about it!

Why don’t we have “grand challenges”?
- Pose a challenging, “fundamental” problem, with dataset
- Think Netflix, but from our community

Why don’t we make our research more visible and impactful?
- PLoS, or PLoE?

It also impacts teaching, by the way
- Collaborative projects (Connexions, Wikipedia)
- Books online, course online
Conclusions

• Reproducible research increases impact
• It helps both yourself and other people
• Right now is a good moment to start making your research reproducible!

Standing on each other’s shoulders, not on each other’s toes.
- K. Price, adapted from R. W. Hamming -

More information:
http://www.reproducibleresearch.org

Or contact Patrick Vandewalle
Patrick@reproducibleresearch.org
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ABSTRACT

How often have you been able to implement an algorithm as it is described in a paper? And when you did, were you confident that you had exactly the same pneumonia values and results as the authors of the paper? All too often, articles do not describe all the details of an algorithm and thus prohibit an implementation by someone else. In this paper, we discuss our experience with reproducible research, a paradigm to allow other people to reproduce with minimal effort the results we have obtained. We discuss both the reproducibility of data and algorithms, and give examples for each of them. The effort required to make research reproducible is compensated by a higher visibility and impact of the results.

Index Terms— reproducible research, publishing, source code, open-source software

REPRODUCIBLE RESEARCH IN VARIOUS FACETS OF SIGNAL PROCESSING

P. K. S., College Of Engg, Avramagudh N.S. 0916/01(1) shahabzaher@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we describe reproducible research, a paradigm to allow other people to reproduce with minimal effort the results that have been obtained. We discuss both the reproducibility of data and algorithms, and give examples for each of them. The effort required to make research reproducible is compensated by a higher visibility and impact of the results.

Index Terms— reproducible research, publishing, source code, open-source software

Keywords: describe the link between publishing and reproducible research [4]. Vandecarwrite et al. discuss the use of standard systems and custom tools. [5], and Frouin and Frouin describe a practical implementation using Socrates [6].

It is also interesting to see how a similar concept was presented by Knuth in 1984 as "literate programming." [7]. Instead of starting from the research results and publications, and adding software to it to make the results reproducible, the principle of literate programming is to produce the documentation of programs. Instead of imagining that our main task is to instruct a computer what to do, let us concentrate rather on explaining to human beings what we want a computer to do. The result is very similar to what we have in mind as a reproducible research paper.

Thanks! Questions?